UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Randy Lee Biggs ^{Defendant}	Case No. 1:19-mj-00165-ESC
	ofter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Referenced by efendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	• •	Findings of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense describe	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence	is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had b U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ate or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but a minor victim	involves:
		m or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon S.C. § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	I while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	e date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presperson or the community. I further find that defend	umption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe ant has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternat	tive Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	ant has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten year Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 e	
(2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption eswill reasonably assure the defendant's appearance	stablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of condition
	•	tive Findings (B)
<u>√</u> (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endar	nger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II – Statement o	of the Reasons for Detention
evidence	a preponderance of the evidence that:	the detention hearing establishes by <a> clear and convincing
	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to c ndant may bring the issue of his continuing detention	ontest detention at this time. In to the court's attention should his circumstances change.
	Part III – Directio	ons Regarding Detention
т		orney General or a designated representative for confinement in a
correction	ns facility separate, to the extent practicable, from pe	ersons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending tunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United

Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge

States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the

defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: June 13, 2019